07-31-06

Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

TRANSMITTAL FORM

(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)

Total Number of Pages in This Submission

Application Number 10/004,296-Conf. #8164 Filing Date October 31, 2001 First Named Inventor Thomas D. Benson Art Unit 3627 Examiner Name J. A. Fischetti Attorney Docket Number 10004991-1

ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)										
Fee Transr	mittal Form	Drawing(s)		After Allowance Communication to TC						
Fee /	Attached	Licensing-related Papers		Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences						
Amendmer	nt/Reply	Petition		X Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)						
After	Final	Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application		Proprietary Information						
Affidavits/declaration(s)		Power of Attorney, Revocati Change of Correspondence		Status Letter						
Extension of Time Request		Terminal Disclaimer		X Other Enclosure(s) (please Identify below):						
Express Abandonment Request		Request for Refund		Return Receipt Postcard						
Information Disclosure Statement		CD, Number of CD(s)								
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)		Landscape Table on CD								
Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application		Remarks								
Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53										
· . · .										
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT										
Firm Name	FULB A IGHT & JAWORSKI L.L.P.									
Signature										
Printed name	Michael A. Papalas									
Date	July 28, 2006		Reg. No.	40,381						

T	ra	n	S	n	١	it	ta	ı

I hereby certify that this paper (along with any paper referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service as Express Mail, Airbill No. EV568241238US, on the date shown below in an envelope addressed to:

MS Appeal Brief - Patents, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Lorsane Dan

Dated: July 28, 2006

(Lorraine Davidoff)



HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY

Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400 Fort Collins, Colorado 80527-2400 Docket No.: 10004991-1

(PATENT)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:

Thomas D. Benson

Application No.: 10/004,296

Confirmation No.: 8164

Filed: October 31, 2001

Art Unit: 3627

For: AUTOMATED SYSTEM FOR AND METHOD

OF INVENTORY MANAGEMENT CONTROL

Examiner: J. A. Fischetti

RESPONSE TO NOTIFICATION OF NON-COMPLIANT APPEAL BRIEF (M.P.E.P. 1205.03)

MS Appeal Brief - Patents Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

As required, this Response is filed not more than one month after the Notification mailed on July 6, 2006 (Part of Paper No. 20060627), and is in furtherance of the Notice of Appeal.

Amendments to the Appeal Brief begin on page 2 of this paper.

Application No.: 10/004,296 Docket No.: 10004991-1

Amendments to the Appeal Brief

Please delete section V. SUMMARY OF CLAIMED SUBJECT MATTER in its entirety, and replace it with the following new section:

V. SUMMARY OF CLAIMED SUBJECT MATTER

According to claim 21, the inventory control system comprises: a processor operable to determine a required quantity of material (pg. 6, 2nd paragraph; Fig. 2, 201; Fig. 1, 104); a means for communicating with at least one supplier of said material, wherein said communication includes conveying to said at least one supplier said quantity and a time frame and receiving from said at least one supplier a confirmation (pg. 6, 3rd paragraph; Fig. 2, 211; Fig. 1, 106); and a computer readable code processed by said processor, wherein said code is operable to re-determine said required quantity using feedback relating to a performance of at least one supply chain participant (pg. 6, 1st paragraph; Fig. 1, 111).

According to claim 22, the system of claim 21 wherein said feedback includes results of a comparison between an actual run rate and a corresponding anticipated run rate (pg. 5, 3rd paragraph; Fig. 1, 104, 110).

According to claim 23, the system of claim 21 wherein said feedback includes results of a comparison between an actual production yield and a corresponding anticipated production yield (pg. 5, 3rd paragraph; Fig. 1, 104, 110).

According to claim 24, the system of claim 21 further comprising: a computer readable code processed by said processor and operable to determine said quantity using one or more of a product forecast, a bill of materials, a material lead time, and a desired inventory level (pg. 6, 1st paragraph; pg. 5, Fig. 1, 104, 111).

25677586.1

Application No.: 10/004,296 Docket No.: 10004991-1

Summary

In view of the above amendment to the Appeal Brief, Appellant believes the pending application is in condition for allowance and respectfully requests the rejections of record be withdrawn.

Appellant believes no fee is due with this response. However, if a fee is due, please charge our Deposit Account No. 08-2025, under Order No. 10004991-1 from which the undersigned is authorized to draw.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as Express Mail, Airbill No. EV 568241238US, in an envelope addressed to: MS Appeal Brief - Patents, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Date of Deposit:

July 28, 2006

Typed Name:

orraine Davidoff

Signature:

Respectfully submitted,

Michael A. Papalas

Reg. No.: 40,381

Date: July 28, 2006

Telephone No. (214) 855-8186